Market Response to Racial Uprisings

BOCAR A. BA, ROMAN G. RIVERA, AND ALEXANDER WHITEFIELD

Discussion

EBEN LAZARUS UC Berkeley Haas

NBER Race & Stratification Working Group Meeting April 2024

Outline

1. Recap

2. Interpretation 1: Market as Information Aggregator

3. Interpretation 2: Market as Allocation Mechanism

Recap

BLM's effects on policing policy & firms: Ambiguous ex ante, difficult to measure

- 1. Defund \implies widespread decreases
- 2. Reform \implies shifts across *distribution* of policing activities & products
- 3. Backlash \implies widespread increases
- Policy changes are diffuse: long & variable lags, highly decentralized U.S. police system

This paper: Clever & convincing measurement approach

- 18,000 police agencies, but only 23 large firms with strong police contracting ties [text analysis of ann. reports]
- Long policy lags, but financial markets give evidence on anticipated effects in high-stakes setting
- Strong evidence in favor of anticipated effects 2–3
 - ▶ High average stock returns, with strongest effects for cameras/tech and crime control suppliers
 - Price increases were long-lasting & followed by significantly (200%) higher sales

Returns Analysis

- Stock return for firm $j(R_{t,j})$ gives info on expected effect of unanticipated event on date t = 0
- **Problem:** The market as a whole *also* moved on t = 0
 - Should expect $R_{t,j} = \beta_{j,mkt} R_{t,mkt}$

[e.g., Smith & Wesson has $\beta_{j,mkt} = 1.1$, so $R_{t,mkt} = +10\%$ but $R_{t,j} = +5\%$ suggests underperformance]

Standard approach: Estimate *cumulative abnormal return*, accounting for *R*_{t.mkt} & other risk factors

- 1. Estimate typical exposure to market & other risk factors using pre-event returns
- 2. Estimated exposure + post-event returns on market and risk factors ⇒ abnormal returns

$$AR_{i,t} = R_{t,j} - \underbrace{\hat{\beta}_{j,mkt}R_{t,mkt} - \dots}_{\widehat{R}_{t,j}}, \quad t \ge 0$$

- The authors implement this carefully, and in fact take things one step further
- Abnormal returns relative to synthetic control group of other firms [double DID]

Outline

1. Recap

2. Interpretation 1: Market as Information Aggregator

3. Interpretation 2: Market as Allocation Mechanism

Market Prices and Information About Fundamentals

Idealized efficient market:

 $Price_0 = present value of expected future cash flows$

$$= \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mathbb{E}_0[\text{Dividend}_t]}{(1+r)^t}$$

$$\xrightarrow{\text{const. div. growth } g} = \frac{\text{Dividend}_1}{r-g}$$

▶ **Paper's interpretation:** Prices \nearrow → expected future profits \nearrow → dividends \nearrow

"As an information aggregator, the stock market provides insight into how events [...] are expected to influence firms' performance and future policy."

If markets are reacting to news "correctly," then their reactions tell us about expected future scope of policing-connected businesses

How Well Do Markets Incorporate News?

- Not perfect! Augenblick, Lazarus, Thaler (2023): Across a range of settings, evidence that markets overreact to weak news and underreact to strong news
- ► Typically, firm fundamental news classified as strong...do police violence & BLM protests fall under this umbrella? Paper does a nice job showing yes: little return reversion (←), huge sales increase (→)



How Well Do Markets Incorporate News?

- Not perfect! Augenblick, Lazarus, Thaler (2023): Across a range of settings, evidence that markets overreact to weak news and underreact to strong news
- ► Typically, firm fundamental news classified as strong...do police violence & BLM protests fall under this umbrella? Paper does a nice job showing yes: little return reversion (←), huge sales increase (→)
- ▶ Plus some fantastic forensic work showing follow-up results consistent with true fundamental news
- Heterogeneity in firm performance by product line
- IV for police uptake in USDOJ survey of police departments

Outline

1. Recap

2. Interpretation 1: Market as Information Aggregator

3. Interpretation 2: Market as Allocation Mechanism

Market Prices Also Spur Changes in Behavior

- Previous interpretation: Markets as neutral information aggregator
 - ▶ Prices $\nearrow \iff$ future sales & dividends must have increased
- But market prices are also a signal to firms
- If you run a firm whose stock valuation just exploded, seems like a good time to raise funds by issuing new shares of stock
 - Can then use those funds to invest in expanding the business
- Prices are not just an **outcome variable**, but also may help explain the big eventual increase in these firms' sales
- Initial price increases might in fact become self-fulfilling prophecy: Role of markets not just as neutral info aggregator, but as *active participant* in long-run effect

Did These Firms Raise Funds from Markets?

Axon Announces Proposed Public Offering of Common Stock



NEWS PROVIDED BY Axon → 15 Jun, 2020, 16:10 ET



SCOTTSDALE, Ariz, June 15, 2020 /PRNewswire/ -- Axon Enterprise, Inc. (NASDAQ: **AAXN**), the global leader in connected law enforcement technologies, today announced that it has commenced a proposed public offering of 3,000,000 of shares of its common stock. The underwriters have a 30-day option to purchase up to 450,000 additional shares of common stock in the public offering. Axon intends to use the net proceeds from this offering for working capital and other general corporate purposes.

Did These Firms Raise Funds from Markets?

Axon Announces Proposed Public Offering of Common Stock

JUN

10



NEWS PROVIDEE **Axon →** 15 Jun, 2020, 16:1(

SCOTTSDALE, / law enforceme shares of its coi common stock other general c

Digital Ally Closes \$5.4 Million Underwritten Public Offering Of Common Stock Consiting Of Base Deal And Over-Allotment

Closing of an underwritten public offering of 2,325,581 shares of its common stock

Digital Ally, Inc. (DGLY) (the œCompany[]), which develops, manufactures and markets advanced video recording products for law enforcement, emergency management, fleet safety and security, today announced the closing of an underwritten public offering of 2,325,581 shares of its common stock at a price of \$2.15 per share. The underwriters have elected to simultaneously close the over-allotment option consisting of 213,953 shares of common stock for gross proceeds to the Company of approximately \$5.4 million, before deducting underwriting discounts and other offering expenses. The Company intends to use the net proceeds from this offering for working capital, product development, order fulfillment and for general corporate purposes.

Market Prices and the Cost of Capital

▶ Previous interpretation: Short-term dividends and/or dividend growth ↗

 $Price_0 = present value of expected future cash flows$ $= \frac{\text{Dividend}_1}{r - g}$

Big price increases also generally reflect decreases in discount rate r \sqrt{s}

- Discount rate is equivalent to cost of capital for firm to raise new funds
 - ▶ Why might *r* \,? Firm cash flows may have become **safer**. . .or prices **overreacted** to info, and expected returns will be low as a result
 - ▶ Either way, if cost of capital ∖, then cheaper to raise funds to finance new investments
 - \implies *r* and *g* might interact: new investments increase firm production & future sales & profits
- Role of markets not just as neutral info aggregator, but as *active participant* in capital allocation to firms connected to contracting

Decreases in the Cost of Capital

- Current prices + analysts' forecasts of future dividends can be used to back out the **implied cost of** capital (ICC) *r* for a given stock
- I got strongly connected firm IDs from the authors, and matched those to ICCs from Niels Gormsen as used in Eskildsen, Ibert, Jensen, Pedersen (2024). My calculations — sizable change in ICCs:



Changes in the Average ICC r for Strongly Connected Firms in 2020

Decreases in the Cost of Capital

- Current prices + analysts' forecasts of future dividends can be used to back out the **implied cost of** capital (ICC) *r* for a given stock
- I got strongly connected firm IDs from the authors, and matched those to ICCs from Niels Gormsen as used in Eskildsen, Ibert, Jensen, Pedersen (2024)



...and Contracting Firms Took Advantage of Lower Cost of Capital [*Redux*]

Axon Announces Proposed Public Offering of Common Stock



NEWS PROVIDED BY Axon → 15 Jun, 2020, 16:10 ET



SCOTTSDALE, Ariz, June 15, 2020 /PRNewswire/ -- Axon Enterprise, Inc. (NASDAQ: **AAXN**), the global leader in connected law enforcement technologies, today announced that it has commenced a proposed public offering of 3,000,000 of shares of its common stock. The underwriters have a 30-day option to purchase up to 450,000 additional shares of common stock in the public offering. Axon intends to use the net proceeds from this offering for working capital and other general corporate purposes. ...and Contracting Firms Took Advantage of Lower Cost of Capital [*Redux*]

Axon Announces Proposed Public Offering of Common Stock

JUN

10





SCOTTSDALE, # law enforceme shares of its cor common stock other general c

Digital Ally Closes \$5.4 Million Underwritten Public Offering Of Common Stock Consiting Of Base Deal And Over-Allotment

Closing of an underwritten public offering of 2,325,581 shares of its common stock

Digital Ally, Inc. (DGLY) (the œCompany[]), which develops, manufactures and markets advanced video recording products for law enforcement, emergency management, fleet safety and security, today announced the closing of an underwritten public offering of 2,325,581 shares of its common stock at a price of \$2.15 per share. The underwritters have elected to simultaneously close the over-allotment option consisting of 213,953 shares of common stock for gross proceeds to the Company of approximately \$5.4 million, before deducting underwriting discounts and other offering expenses. The Company intends to use the net proceeds from this offering for working capital, product development, order fulfillment and for general corporate purposes.

Final Notes

- Very well-executed paper on important questions
- Strong evidence that markets expected BLM protests to increase connected firm profits, and this expectation has been borne out so far
- ▶ Further suggestive complementary evidence for decreases in cost of capital for these firms
- > This interpretation, if anything, deepens the importance of the paper's results
- > Price increases are both outcome variable *and* potential channel for locking in long-run effect

Thank you!